arXiv:1102.4613v2 [physics.plasm-ph] 22 Mar 2012

Sources of intrinsic rotation in the low flow ordering

Felix I Parra and Michael Barnes

Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3NP, UK

E-mail: `f.parradiaz1@physics.ox.ac.uk`

Peter J Catto

Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Abstract. A low flow, δf gyrokinetic formulation to obtain the intrinsic rotation profiles is presented. The momentum conservation equation in the low flow ordering contains new terms, neglected in previous first principles formulations, that may explain the intrinsic rotation observed in tokamaks in the absence of external sources of momentum. The intrinsic rotation profile depends on the density and temperature profiles and on the up-down asymmetry.

PACS numbers: 52.25.Fi, 52.30.Gz, 52.35.Ra

Submitted to: *Nuclear Fusion*

1. Introduction

Experimental observations have shown that tokamak plasmas rotate spontaneously without momentum input [1]. This intrinsic rotation has been the object of recent work [1, 2] because of its relevance for ITER [3], where the projected momentum input from neutral beams is small, and the rotation is expected to be mostly intrinsic.

The origin of the intrinsic rotation is still unclear. There has been some theoretical work in turbulent transport of momentum using gyrokinetic simulations [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12], and two main mechanisms have been proposed as candidates to explain intrinsic rotation. On the one hand, the momentum pinch due to the Coriolis drift [4] has been argued to transport momentum generated in the edge. On the other hand, it has been argued that up-down asymmetry generates intrinsic rotation [7, 8]. However, neither of these explanations are able to account for all experimental observations. The up-down asymmetry is only large in the edge, generating rotation in that region that then needs to be transported inwards by the Coriolis pinch. Thus, intrinsic rotation in the core could only be explained by the pinch. The pinch of momentum is not sufficient because it does not allow the toroidal rotation to change sign in the core as is observed experimentally [13].

In this article we present a new model implementable in δf flux tube simulations [14, 15, 16, 17]. This model is based on the low flow ordering of [18], and self-consistently includes higher order contributions. As a result, new drive terms for the intrinsic rotation appear that depend on the gradients of the background profiles of density and temperature.

We recast the results from [18] in a form similar to the equations in the high flow ordering [19, 20]. These are the equations that have been implemented in most gyrokinetic codes that are employed to study momentum transport. For this reason, the new form of the equations is useful to identify the differences with previous models. In addition, we discuss how the new contributions drive intrinsic rotation and we show that the intrinsic rotation resulting from these new processes depends on density and temperature gradients.

In the remainder of this article we present the model, developed originally in [18], in a form more suitable for δf flux tube simulation. In Section 2 we give the complete model, and in Section 3 we discuss its implications for intrinsic rotation. Appendix A contains the details of the transformation from the equations in [18] to the formulation in this article. In Appendix B we discuss different forms of deriving the radial flux of toroidal angular momentum, showing that the final form presented here is convenient and has many advantages.

2. Transport of toroidal angular momentum

The derivation of the transport of toroidal angular momentum in the low flow regime, including both turbulence and neoclassical effects, is described in detail in [18]. To simplify the derivation, the extra expansion parameter $B_p/B = \varepsilon/q \ll 1$ was employed, assuming that the turbulence length scales and amplitudes do not depend strongly on B_p/B. Here B is the total magnetic field and B_p its poloidal component, $\varepsilon = r/R$ is the inverse aspect ratio of the flux surface, q is the safety factor, r is the minor radius of the flux surface and R is the major radius. The ratio B_p/B is below or around 0.1 across the core in most tokamaks (ε is small near the magnetic axis and q is large near the edge). In this section, we review the results of reference [18] and we recast them in a more convenient form.

We assume that the turbulence is electrostatic and that the magnetic field is axisymmetric, i.e., $\mathbf{B} = I\nabla\zeta + \nabla\zeta \times \nabla\psi$, where ψ is the poloidal magnetic flux, ζ is the toroidal angle, and we use a poloidal angle θ as our third spatial coordinate. With an axisymmetric magnetic field, in steady state and in the absence of momentum input, the equation that determines the rotation profile is $\langle\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{P}}_i \cdot\nabla\psi\rangle_\psi\rangle_\mathrm{T} = 0$, where $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{P}}_i = \int d^3v'\, f_i M \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'$ is the ion stress tensor, M is the ion mass, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$ is the unit vector in the toroidal direction, $\langle\ldots\rangle_\psi = (V')^{-1}\int d\theta\, d\zeta\, (...)/(\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla\theta)$ is the flux surface average, $V' \equiv dV/d\psi = \int d\theta\, d\zeta\, (\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla\theta)^{-1}$ is the derivative of the volume with respect to ψ, and $\langle\ldots\rangle_\mathrm{T}$ is the coarse grain or "transport" average over the time and length scales of the turbulence, assumed much shorter than the transport time scale $\delta_i^{-2}a/v_{ti}$ and the minor radius a. Here $\delta_i = \rho_i/a \ll 1$ is the ion gyroradius ρ_i over the minor radius of the tokamak a, and v_{ti} is the ion thermal speed. Note that we use the prime in $\mathbf{v}'$ to indicate that the velocity is measured in the laboratory frame. Later we will find the equations in a convenient rotating frame where the velocity is $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}' - R\Omega_\zeta\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$.

In reference [18] we derived a method to calculate $\langle\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{P}}_i \cdot\nabla\psi\rangle_\psi\rangle_\mathrm{T}$ to order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^3 p_i R|\nabla\psi|$, with p_i the ion pressure. We present the method again in a different form to make it easier to compare with previous works in the high flow regime [19, 20]. In subsection 2.1 we explain how we split the distribution function and the electrostatic potential into different pieces, and we present the equations to self-consistently obtain them. In subsection 2.2 we evaluate $\langle\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{P}}_i \cdot\nabla\psi\rangle_\psi\rangle_\mathrm{T}$ employing the pieces of the distribution function and the potential obtained in subsection 2.1. Before presenting all the results, we emphasize that our results and order of magnitude estimates are valid for $\delta_i \ll B_p/B \ll 1$, assuming that the turbulence does not scale strongly with B_p/B, and for collisionality in the range $\delta_i^2 \ll qR\nu_{ii}/v_{ti} \lesssim 1$ [18], where ν_{ii} is the ion-ion collision frequency.

2.1. Distribution function and electrostatic potential

The electrostatic potential is composed to the order of interest by the pieces in Table 1 [18]. The axisymmetric long wavelength pieces $\phi_0(\psi, t)$, $\phi_1^\mathrm{nc}(\psi, \theta, t)$ and $\phi_2^\mathrm{nc}(\psi, \theta, t)$ are the zeroth, first and second order equilibrium pieces of the potential. The lowest order component ϕ_0 is a flux surface function. The corrections ϕ_1^nc and ϕ_2^nc give the electric field parallel to the flux surface, established to force quasineutrality at long wavelengths (the superscript $^\mathrm{nc}$ refers to neoclassical because these are long wavelength contributions;

Table 1. Pieces of the potential: $\phi = \phi_0 + \phi_1^{\rm nc} + \phi_2^{\rm nc} + \phi^{\rm tb}$.

Potential	Size	Length scales	Time scales
$\phi_0(\psi, t)$	T_e/e	$ka \sim 1$	$\partial/\partial t \sim \delta_i^2 v_{ti}/a$
$\phi_1^{\rm nc}(\psi, \theta, t)$	$(B/B_p)\delta_i T_e/e$	$ka \sim 1$	$\partial/\partial t \sim \delta_i^2 v_{ti}/a$
$\phi_2^{\rm nc}(\psi, \theta, t)$	$(B/B_p)^2\delta_i^2 T_e/e$	$ka \sim 1$	$\partial/\partial t \sim \delta_i^2 v_{ti}/a$
$\phi^{\rm tb}(\mathbf{r}, t)$	$\phi_1^{\rm tb} \sim \delta_i T_e/e$ $\phi_2^{\rm tb} \sim (B/B_p)\delta_i^2 T_e/e$	$k_\perp \rho_i \sim 1$ $k_{\|\|} R \sim 1$	$\partial/\partial t \sim v_{ti}/a$

however, turbulence can affect the final value of $\phi_2^{\rm nc}$). We need not calculate $\phi_2^{\rm nc}$ because it will not appear in the final expression for $\langle\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \cdot \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{P}}_i \cdot \nabla\psi\rangle_\psi\rangle_{\rm T}$. The piece $\phi^{\rm tb}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is turbulent and includes both axisymmetric components (zonal flow) and non-axisymmetric fluctuations. It is small in δ_i but it has strong perpendicular gradients, i.e., $k_\perp \rho_i \sim 1$. Its parallel gradient is small, i.e., $k_{||} R \sim 1$. The function $\phi^{\rm tb}$ is calculated to order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^2 T_e/e$, i.e., $\phi^{\rm tb} = \phi_1^{\rm tb} + \phi_2^{\rm tb}$ with $\phi_1^{\rm tb} \sim \delta_i T_e/e$ and $\phi_2^{\rm tb} \sim (B/B_p)\delta_i^2 T_e/e$. It is convenient to keep both pieces together as $\phi^{\rm tb}$ as we do hereafter.

To write the distribution function it will be useful to consider the reference frame that rotates with toroidal angular velocity $\Omega_\zeta = -c\, \partial_\psi \phi_0$. In this new reference frame it is easier to compare with previous formulations [19, 20]. To shorten the presentation, we perform the change of reference frame directly in the gyrokinetic variables. It is possible to do so easily because we are expanding in the parameter $B/B_p \gg 1$. We first present the gyrokinetic variables that we obtained for the laboratory frame and we argue later how they must be modified to give the gyrokinetic variables in the rotating frame. In [18] we used as gyrokinetic variables the gyrocenter position $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}_1 + \mathbf{R}_2 + \ldots$, the gyrokinetic kinetic energy $E = E_0 + E_1 + E_2 + \ldots$, the magnetic moment $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_1 + \ldots$ and the gyrokinetic gyrophase $\varphi = \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 + \ldots$, where $E_0 = (v')^2/2$ is the particle kinetic energy in the laboratory frame, $\mu_0 = (v'_\perp)^2/2B$ is the lowest order magnetic moment, $\varphi_0 = \arctan(\mathbf{v}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2/\mathbf{v}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1)$ is the lowest order gyrophase, $\mathbf{R}_1 = \Omega_i^{-1}\mathbf{v}' \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \sim \delta_i a$ is the first order correction to the gyrocenter position, $E_1 = Ze(\phi - \langle\phi\rangle_i)/M \sim \delta_i v_{ti}^2$ is the first order correction to the gyrokinetic kinetic energy, and the corrections $\mathbf{R}_2 \sim \delta_i^2 a$, $E_2 \sim \delta_i^2 v_{ti}^2$, $\mu_1 \sim \delta_i v_{ti}^2/B$ and $\varphi_1 \sim \delta_i$ are defined in [21]. Here $\Omega_i = ZeB/Mc$ is the ion gyrofrequency, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1(\mathbf{r})$ and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2(\mathbf{r})$ are two orthonormal vectors such that $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 = \hat{\mathbf{b}}$, and $\langle \ldots \rangle_i = (2\pi)^{-1} \oint d\varphi\, (\ldots)|_{\mathbf{R},E,\mu,t}$ is the gyroaverage holding $\mathbf{R}$, E, μ and t fixed. When the ion distribution function is written as a function of these gyrokinetic variables, it does not depend on the gyrophase φ up to order $(B_p/B)\delta_i^2(qR\nu_{ii}/v_{ti})f_{Mi}$ [18, 21], where f_{Mi} is the lowest order distribution function that is a Maxwellian. For the magnetic moment and the gyrophase, only the first order corrections μ_1 and φ_1 are needed because the lowest order distribution function f_{Mi} does not depend on μ or φ. Moreover, in [18] we expand for $1 \gg B_p/B \gg \delta_i$, and the distribution function need only be known to order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$. Consequently, the piece of the distribution function that depends on the gyrophase, of order $(B_p/B)\delta_i^2(qR\nu_{ii}/v_{ti})f_{Mi}$, is negligible, and the gyrokinetic variables $\mathbf{R}$ and E only need to be obtained to order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^2 a$ and $(B/B_p)\delta_i^2 v_{ti}^2$, respectively, implying that the corrections $\mathbf{R}_2$ and E_2 are not needed for the final result. To change to the new reference frame, where the velocity is $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}' - R\Omega_\zeta \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$, the distribution function that is independent of φ has to be written as a function of the new gyrokinetic variables $\mathbf{R}$, ε and μ, where ε is a new variable that will be defined shortly. Note that the gyrocenter position and the magnetic moment are the same in both reference frames to the order of interest. In the case of μ, the reason is that μ is obtained such that its time derivative vanishes, $d\mu/dt = 0$, making its definition unique. For $\mathbf{R}$, the reason is that the toroidal rotation has two components, one parallel to the magnetic field, $R\Omega_\zeta \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} = I\Omega_\zeta/B \sim (B/B_p)\delta_i v_{ti}$, and the other perpendicular, $R\Omega_\zeta |\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}| = |\nabla\psi|\Omega_\zeta/B \sim \delta_i v_{ti}$, and the parallel velocity is larger by $B/B_p \gg 1$. Since the gyrokinetic variable $\mathbf{R}$ is to be obtained to order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^2 a$, and in $\mathbf{R}$ only the perpendicular velocity $\mathbf{v}'_\perp = \mathbf{v}_\perp + R\Omega_\zeta(\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})$ enters to order $\delta_i a$, we can safely neglect the corrections due to the change of reference frame because they are of order $\delta_i^2 a$. In contrast, the kinetic energy E as defined in [18] cannot be used in the rotating frame because it includes the parallel velocity $v'_{||} = v_{||} + I\Omega_\zeta/B$. We use a new kinetic energy variable ε that is related to the old kinetic energy variable by $\varepsilon = E - I\Omega_\zeta u'/B$, where $u' = \pm\sqrt{2(E - \mu B)}$ is the gyrokinetic parallel velocity in the laboratory frame. It is easy to check that $u = \pm\sqrt{2[\varepsilon - \mu B + (I/B)^2\Omega_\zeta^2/2]}$ is equal to $u = u' - I\Omega_\zeta/B$ and it is the gyrokinetic parallel velocity in the rotating frame. With this relation, we find that another way to interpret the new energy variable

$$\varepsilon = \frac{u^2}{2} + \mu B - \frac{R^2\Omega_\zeta^2}{2} \tag{1}$$

is realizing that it is the kinetic energy in the rotating frame plus the potential due to the centrifugal force. To write expression (1) we have used that $I/B = R + O[(B_p/B)^2 R]$ for $B_p/B \ll 1$. In Appendix A we rewrite the results in [18] using the new gyrokinetic kinetic energy ε.

The different pieces of the ion distribution function are given in Table 2 [18]. The functions f_{Mi}, H_{i1}^{nc}, H_{i2}^{nc} and H_{i2}^{tb} are axisymmetric long wavelength contributions. The Maxwellian

$$f_{Mi}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \varepsilon) = n_i(\psi(\mathbf{R})) \left[\frac{M}{2\pi T_i(\psi(\mathbf{R}))}\right]^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{M\varepsilon}{T_i(\psi(\mathbf{R}))}\right) \tag{2}$$

is uniform on a flux surface. The first and second order corrections H_{i1}^{nc} and H_{i2}^{nc} are neoclassical corrections, and they are not the functions F_{i1}^{nc} and F_{i2}^{nc} in [18] because we are now working in the rotating frame. The function H_{i2}^{tb} is an axisymmetric piece of the distribution function that originates from collisions acting on the ions transported by turbulent fluctuations into the volume between two adjacent flux surfaces [18]. The function f_i^{tb} is the turbulent contribution. It will be determined self-consistently up to order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$, i.e., $f_i^{\mathrm{tb}} = f_{i1}^{\mathrm{tb}} + f_{i2}^{\mathrm{tb}}$ with $f_{i1}^{\mathrm{tb}} \sim \delta_i f_{Mi}$ and $f_{i2}^{\mathrm{tb}} \sim (B/B_p)\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$. It is convenient to combine both pieces of the turbulent distribution function into one function f_i^{tb}.

The electron distribution function is very similar to the ion distribution function. It will have its own gyrokinetic variables that can be easily deduced from the ion

Table 2. Pieces of the ion distribution function: $f_i = f_{Mi} + H_{i1}^{\mathrm{nc}} + H_{i2}^{\mathrm{nc}} + H_{i2}^{\mathrm{tb}} + f_i^{\mathrm{tb}}$.

Distribution function	Size	Length scales	Time scales
$f_{Mi}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \varepsilon, t)$	f_{Mi}	$ka \sim 1$	$\partial/\partial t \sim \delta_i^2 v_{ti}/a$
$H_{i1}^{\mathrm{nc}}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \theta(\mathbf{R}), \varepsilon, \mu, t)$	$(B/B_p)\delta_i f_{Mi}$	$ka \sim 1$	$\partial/\partial t \sim \delta_i^2 v_{ti}/a$
$H_{i2}^{\mathrm{nc}}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \theta(\mathbf{R}), \varepsilon, \mu, t)$	$(B/B_p)^2\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$	$ka \sim 1$	$\partial/\partial t \sim \delta_i^2 v_{ti}/a$
$H_{i2}^{\mathrm{tb}}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \theta(\mathbf{R}), \varepsilon, \mu, t)$	$(B/B_p)(v_{ti}/qR\nu_{ii})\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$	$ka \sim 1$	$\partial/\partial t \sim \delta_i^2 v_{ti}/a$
$f_i^{\mathrm{tb}}(\mathbf{R}, \varepsilon, \mu, t)$	$f_{i1}^{\mathrm{tb}} \sim \delta_i f_{Mi}$	$k_\perp \rho_i \sim 1$	$\partial/\partial t \sim v_{ti}/a$
	$f_{i2}^{\mathrm{tb}} \sim (B/B_p)\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$	$k_{\|\|} R \sim 1$	

Table 3. Pieces of the electron distribution function: $f_e = f_{Me} + H_{e1}^{\mathrm{nc}} + f_e^{\mathrm{tb}}$.

Distribution function	Size	Length scales	Time scales
$f_{Me}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \varepsilon, t)$	f_{Me}	$ka \sim 1$	$\partial/\partial t \sim \delta_i^2 v_{ti}/a$
$H_{e1}^{\mathrm{nc}}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \theta(\mathbf{R}), \varepsilon, \mu, t)$	$(B/B_p)\delta_i f_{Me}$	$ka \sim 1$	$\partial/\partial t \sim \delta_i^2 v_{ti}/a$
$f_e^{\mathrm{tb}}(\mathbf{R}, \varepsilon, \mu, t)$	$f_{e1}^{\mathrm{tb}} \sim \delta_i f_{Me}$	$k_\perp \rho_i \sim 1$	$\partial/\partial t \sim v_{ti}/a$
	$f_{e2}^{\mathrm{tb}} \sim (B/B_p)\delta_i^2 f_{Me}$	$k_{\|\|} R \sim 1$	

counterparts. To the order of interest in this calculation, the electron distribution function is determined by the pieces in Table 3. The long wavelength, axisymmetric pieces f_{Me} and H_{e1}^{nc} are the lowest order Maxwellian and the first order neoclassical correction. The second order long wavelength neoclassical correction is not needed for transport of momentum because of the small electron mass. The piece f_e^{tb} is the short wavelength, turbulent component that will be self-consistently calculated to order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^2 f_{Me}$.

We now proceed to describe how to find the different pieces of the distribution function and the potential. We use the equations in [18] but we change to the new gyrokinetic kinetic energy ε. The details of this transformation are contained in Appendix A.

2.1.1. First order neoclassical distribution function and potential. The equation for H_{i1}^{nc} is

$$u\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \left\{ H_{i1}^{\mathrm{nc}} + \frac{Ze\phi_1^{\mathrm{nc}}}{T_i} f_{Mi} + \left[\frac{1}{p_i}\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \psi} + \left(\frac{M\varepsilon}{T_i} - \frac{5}{2} \right) \frac{1}{T_i}\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \psi} \right] \frac{Iuf_{Mi}}{\Omega_i} \right\} - C_{ii}^{(\ell)}\{H_{i1}^{\mathrm{nc}}\} = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $u = \pm\sqrt{2(\varepsilon - \mu B + R^2\Omega_\zeta^2/2)} \simeq \pm\sqrt{2(\varepsilon - \mu B)}$ is the gyrokinetic parallel velocity and $C_{ii}^{(\ell)}$ is the linearized ion-ion collision operator. The correction H_{i1}^{nc} gives the parallel component of the velocity [22, 23] $n_i \mathbf{W}_i^{\mathrm{nc}} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} \int d^3v\, H_{i1}^{\mathrm{nc}} v_{||} = -(cI\hat{\mathbf{b}}/ZeB)\partial_\psi p_i + (kn_i cI\mathbf{B}/Ze\langle B^2\rangle_\psi)\partial_\psi T_i$, where k is a flux function that depends on the collisionality and the magnetic geometry.

The equation for $H_{e1}^{\rm nc}$ is similar to (3) and it is given by [22, 23]

$$u\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left\{H_{e1}^{\rm nc} - \frac{e\phi_1^{\rm nc}}{T_e}f_{Me} - \left[\frac{1}{p_e}\frac{\partial p_e}{\partial\psi} + \left(\frac{M\varepsilon}{T_e} - \frac{5}{2}\right)\frac{1}{T_e}\frac{\partial T_e}{\partial\psi}\right]\frac{Iuf_{Me}}{\Omega_e}\right\}$$
$$-C_{ee}^{(\ell)}\{H_{e1}^{\rm nc}\} - C_{ei}^{(\ell)}\{H_{e1}^{\rm nc}\} = -\frac{ef_{Me}}{T_e}u\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{E}^A, \qquad (4)$$

where m and $\Omega_e = eB/mc$ are the electron mass and gyrofrequency, $\mathbf{E}^A$ is the electric field driven by the transformer, $C_{ee}^{(\ell)}$ is the linearized electron-electron collision operator and $C_{ei}^{(\ell)}$ is the linearized electron-ion collision operator. The lowest order solution for $H_{e1}^{\rm nc}$ is the Maxwell-Boltzmann response $(e\phi_1^{\rm nc}/T_e)f_{Me} \sim (B/B_p)\delta_i f_{Me}$. The rest of the terms are small because they are of order $(B/B_p)\delta_e f_{Me} \sim (B/B_p)\sqrt{m/M}\delta_i f_{Mi} \ll (B/B_p)\delta_i f_{Me}$, where $\delta_e = \rho_e/a$ is the ratio between the electron gyroradius ρ_e and the minor radius a.

Finally the poloidal variation of the potential is determined by quasineutrality,

$$Z\int d^3v\, H_{i1}^{\rm nc} = \frac{e\phi_1^{\rm nc}}{T_e}n_e, \qquad (5)$$

giving $e\phi_1^{\rm nc}/T_e \sim (B/B_p)\delta_i$.

2.1.2. Turbulent distribution function and potential. The turbulent piece of the ion distribution function is obtained using the gyrokinetic equation (see Appendix A)

$$\frac{Df_i^{\rm tb}}{Dt} + \left(u\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{v}_M + \mathbf{v}_C + \mathbf{v}_{E1}^{\rm nc} + \mathbf{v}_E^{\rm tb}\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}f_i^{\rm tb} - \left\langle C_{ii}^{(\ell)}\left\{h_i^{\rm tb}\right\}\right\rangle_i$$
$$= -\mathbf{v}_E^{\rm tb}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi\left[\frac{1}{n_i}\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial\psi} + \left(\frac{M\varepsilon}{T_i} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{1}{T_i}\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial\psi} + \frac{MIu}{BT_i}\frac{\partial\Omega_\zeta}{\partial\psi}\right]f_{Mi} - \mathbf{v}_E^{\rm tb}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}H_{i1}^{\rm nc}$$
$$-\frac{Zef_{Mi}}{T_i}\left(u\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{v}_M + \mathbf{v}_C\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi^{\rm tb}\rangle_i + \frac{Ze}{M}\frac{\partial H_{i1}^{\rm nc}}{\partial\varepsilon}\left(u\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{v}_M\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi^{\rm tb}\rangle_i, \qquad (6)$$

where $D/Dt = \partial_t + R\Omega_\zeta\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the time derivative in the rotating frame, $u = \pm\sqrt{2[\varepsilon - \mu B + R^2\Omega_\zeta^2/2]} \simeq \pm\sqrt{2(\varepsilon - \mu B)}$ is the parallel velocity in the rotating frame, $\mathbf{v}_M = (\mu/\Omega_i)\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B + (u^2/\Omega_i)\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}})$ are the ∇B and curvature drifts, $\mathbf{v}_C = (2u\Omega_\zeta/\Omega_i)\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times[(\nabla R\times\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}]$ is the Coriolis drift, $\mathbf{v}_{E1}^{\rm nc} = -(c/B)\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\phi_1^{\rm nc}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\mathbf{v}_E^{\rm tb} = -(c/B)\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi^{\rm tb}\rangle_i\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ are the neoclassical and turbulent $\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{B}$ drifts, $C_{ii}^{(\ell)}\{h_i^{\rm tb}\}$ is the linearized ion-ion collision operator, and $\langle\ldots\rangle_i = (2\pi)^{-1}\oint d\varphi\,(\ldots)|_{\mathbf{R},E,\mu,t}$ is the gyroaverage holding the ion gyrokinetic variables $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \Omega_i^{-1}\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \ldots$, E, μ and t fixed. The function that enters in the collision operator is

$$h_i^{\rm tb} = f_{ig}^{\rm tb} + \frac{Ze(\phi^{\rm tb} - \langle\phi^{\rm tb}\rangle_i)}{M}\left(-\frac{Mf_{Mi,0}}{T_i} + \frac{\partial H_{i1,0}^{\rm nc}}{\partial\varepsilon_0} + \frac{1}{B}\frac{\partial H_{i1,0}^{\rm nc}}{\partial\mu_0}\right). \qquad (7)$$

Here the subscript $_g$ in $f_{ig}^{\rm tb} = f_i^{\rm tb}(\mathbf{R}_g, v^2/2, v_\perp^2/2B, t)$ indicates that we have replaced the variables $\mathbf{R}$, ε and μ by $\mathbf{R}_g = \mathbf{r} + \Omega_i^{-1}\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}$, $v^2/2$ and $v_\perp^2/2B$; similarly, the subscript $_0$ in $f_{Mi,0} = f_{Mi}(\psi(\mathbf{r}), v^2/2, t)$ and $H_{i1,0}^{\rm nc} = H_{i1}^{\rm nc}(\psi(\mathbf{r}), \theta(\mathbf{r}), v^2/2, v_\perp^2/2B, t)$ indicates that we have replaced the variables $\mathbf{R}$, ε and μ by $\mathbf{r}$, $v^2/2$ and $v_\perp^2/2B$.

The equation for electrons is of the same form as the one for the ions, giving

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{Df_e^{\rm tb}}{Dt} &+ \left(u\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{v}_M + \mathbf{v}_E^{\rm tb}\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} f_e^{\rm tb} - \langle C_{ee}^{(\ell)}\left\{h_e^{\rm tb}\right\}\rangle_e - \left\langle C_{ei}^{(\ell)}\left\{h_e^{\rm tb}, h_i^{\rm tb}\right\}\right\rangle_e \\ &= -\mathbf{v}_E^{\rm tb}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi\left[\frac{1}{n_e}\frac{\partial n_e}{\partial\psi} + \left(\frac{M\varepsilon}{T_e}-\frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{1}{T_e}\frac{\partial T_e}{\partial\psi}\right] f_{Me} \\ &+ \frac{ef_{Me}}{T_e}\left(u\hat{\mathbf{b}}+\mathbf{v}_M\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi^{\rm tb}\rangle_e,\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbf{v}_M = -(\mu/\Omega_e)\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B - (u^2/\Omega_e)\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}})$ are the ∇B and curvature drifts for electrons, $\mathbf{v}_E^{\rm tb} = -(c/B)\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi^{\rm tb}\rangle_e\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ is the turbulent $\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{B}$ drift, $C_{ee}^{(\ell)}\{h_e^{\rm tb}\}$ is the linearized electron-electron collision operator, $C_{ei}^{(\ell)}\{h_e^{\rm tb}, h_i^{\rm tb}\}$ is the linearized electron-ion collision operator, and $\langle\ldots\rangle_e = (2\pi)^{-1}\oint d\varphi\,(\ldots)|_{\mathbf{R},E,\mu,t}$ is the gyroaverage holding the electron gyrokinetic variables $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} - \Omega_e^{-1}\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \ldots$, E, μ and t fixed. The electron distribution function that enters in the collision operator is

$$h_e^{\rm tb} = f_{eg}^{\rm tb} + \frac{e(\phi^{\rm tb} - \langle\phi^{\rm tb}\rangle_e)}{T_e} f_{Me,0}. \tag{9}$$

The subscript $_g$ on $f_{eg}^{\rm tb} = f_e^{\rm tb}(\mathbf{R}_g, v^2/2, v_\perp^2/2B, t)$ indicates that we have replaced the variables $\mathbf{R}$, ε and μ by $\mathbf{R}_g = \mathbf{r} - \Omega_e^{-1}\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}$, $v^2/2$ and $v_\perp^2/2B$; similarly, the subscript $_0$ on $f_{Me,0} = f_{Me}(\psi(\mathbf{r}), v^2/2, t)$ indicates that we have replaced the variables $\mathbf{R}$, ε and μ by $\mathbf{r}$, $v^2/2$ and $v_\perp^2/2B$. If we were to neglect the effect of the trapped electrons, the solution to this equation for time and length scales typical of ion turbulence would simply be the adiabatic response $f_e^{\rm tb} \simeq (e\langle\phi^{\rm tb}\rangle/T_e)f_{Me}$ because of the high parallel speed of the electrons.

Finally, the electrostatic potential $\phi^{\rm tb}$ is obtained from the quasineutrality equation

$$\begin{aligned}\int d^3v\,\frac{Z^2e(\phi^{\rm tb} - \langle\phi^{\rm tb}\rangle_i)}{M}\left[\frac{Mf_{Mi,0}}{T_i} - \frac{\partial H_{i1,0}^{\rm nc}}{\partial\varepsilon_0} - \frac{1}{B}\frac{\partial H_{i1,0}^{\rm nc}}{\partial\mu_0}\right] + \int d^3v\,\frac{e(\phi^{\rm tb} - \langle\phi^{\rm tb}\rangle_e)}{T_e} f_{Me,0} \\ = Z\int d^3v\, f_{ig}^{\rm tb} - \int d^3v\, f_{eg}^{\rm tb}.\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

2.1.3. Second order, long wavelength distribution function. The long wavelength pieces $H_{i2}^{\rm nc}$ and $H_{i2}^{\rm tb}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}u\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}H_{i2}^{\alpha} - C_{ii}^{(\ell)}\{H_{i2}^{\alpha}\} = \mathcal{S}^{\alpha} - \Bigg\langle \int d^3v\,\mathcal{S}^{\alpha} \\ + \left(\frac{2M\varepsilon}{3T_i} - 1\right)\int d^3v\,\mathcal{S}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{M\varepsilon}{T_i} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\Bigg\rangle_{\psi}\frac{f_{Mi}}{n_i},\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\alpha = {\rm nc}$, tb. In the preceding,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}^{\rm nc} = &-\left[\frac{1}{p_i}\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial\psi} + \left(\frac{M\varepsilon}{T_i} - \frac{5}{2}\right)\frac{1}{T_i}\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial\psi}\right] f_{Mi}\left(\mathbf{v}_C - \frac{c}{B}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\phi_1^{\rm nc}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi \\ &- \frac{MIuf_{Mi}}{BT_i}\frac{\partial\Omega_\zeta}{\partial\psi}\mathbf{v}_M\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi - \mathbf{v}_M\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}H_{i1}^{\rm nc} - \frac{Zef_{Mi}}{T_i}\left(u\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\phi_2^{\rm nc} + \mathbf{v}_M\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\phi_1^{\rm nc}\right) \\ &+ \frac{Ze}{M}\frac{\partial H_{i1}^{\rm nc}}{\partial\varepsilon}u\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\phi_1^{\rm nc} + C_{ii}^{(n\ell)}\{H_{i1}^{\rm nc}, H_{i1}^{\rm nc}\},\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Table 4. Contributions to transport of momentum.

Π	Size $[(B/B_p)\delta_i^3 p_i R\lvert\nabla\psi\rvert]$	Dependences
$\Pi_{-1}^{\rm tb}$	$(B_p/B)\Delta_{ud}\delta_i^{-1}$ for $\Delta_{ud}\gtrsim(B/B_p)\delta_i$ 1 for $\Delta_{ud}\lesssim(B/B_p)\delta_i$	$\partial_\psi\Omega_\zeta, \Omega_\zeta, \Delta_{ud}, \partial_\psi T_i, \partial_\psi n_e, \partial_\psi T_e, \partial_\psi^2 T_i, \partial_\psi^2 n_e$
$\Pi_0^{\rm tb}$	1	$\partial_\psi T_i, \partial_\psi n_e, \partial_\psi T_e, \partial_\psi^2 T_i, \partial_\psi^2 n_e, \partial_\psi^2 T_e$
$\Pi_{-1}^{\rm nc}$	$\Delta_{ud}(qR\nu_{ii}/v_{ti})\delta_i^{-1}$ for $\Delta_{ud}\gtrsim(B/B_p)\delta_i$ $(B/B_p)(qR\nu_{ii}/v_{ti})$ for $\Delta_{ud}\lesssim(B/B_p)\delta_i$	$\partial_\psi\Omega_\zeta, \Delta_{ud}, \partial_\psi T_i, \partial_\psi T_e, \partial_\psi n_e, \partial_\psi^2 T_i, \partial_\psi^2 n_e$
$\Pi_0^{\rm nc}$	$(B/B_p)(qR\nu_{ii}/v_{ti})$	$\partial_\psi T_i, \partial_\psi n_e, \partial_\psi T_e, \partial_\psi^2 T_i, \partial_\psi^2 n_e$

with $C_{ii}^{(n\ell)}$ the full bilinear ion-ion collision operator, and

$$\mathcal{S}^{\rm tb} = -\frac{|u|}{B}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\cdot\left(\frac{B}{|u|}\left\langle f_i^{\rm tb}\mathbf{v}_E^{\rm tb}\right\rangle_{\rm T}\right) + \frac{Ze}{M}\frac{|u|}{B}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\left(\frac{B}{|u|}\left\langle f_i^{\rm tb}\left(u\hat{\mathbf{b}}+\mathbf{v}_M\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi^{\rm tb}\rangle\right\rangle_{\rm T}\right). \quad (13)$$

2.2. Calculation of the momentum transport

The radial transport of toroidal angular momentum $\langle\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_i\cdot\nabla\psi\rangle_\psi\rangle_{\rm T}$ is given in equation (39) of [18] that we reproduce here for convenience

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_i\cdot\nabla\psi\rangle_\psi\rangle_{\rm T} = {} & Mc\left\langle\left\langle\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\zeta}\int d^3v'\, f_i R(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\rm T} + \frac{Mc\langle R^2\rangle_\psi}{2Ze}\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial t} \\ & + \frac{M^2c^2}{2Ze}\frac{1}{V'}\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}V'\left\langle\left\langle\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\zeta}\int d^3v'\, f_i R^2(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\rm T} \\ & - \frac{M^2c}{2Ze}\left\langle\int d^3v'\,\langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_{\rm T}\, R^2(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2\right\rangle_\psi \\ & - \frac{M^3c^2}{6Z^2e^2}\frac{1}{V'}\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}V'\left\langle\int d^3v'\,\langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_{\rm T}\, R^3(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^3\right\rangle_\psi. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

This expression is derived in [18]. In Appendix B, we present an alternative proof that makes clear the convenience of using form (14).

Using that for $B/B_p\gg 1$, $R\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\simeq Iv_{||}/B$, and employing the decomposition of the ion distribution function in subsection 2.1, we find that (14) can be rewritten as

$$\langle\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_i\cdot\nabla\psi\rangle_\psi\rangle_{\rm T} = \Pi_{-1}^{\rm tb} + \Pi_0^{\rm tb} + \Pi_{-1}^{\rm nc} + \Pi_0^{\rm nc} + \frac{Mc\langle R^2\rangle_\psi}{2Ze}\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial t}, \quad (15)$$

with

$$\Pi_{-1}^{\rm tb} = Mc\left\langle\left\langle\frac{\partial\phi^{\rm tb}}{\partial\zeta}\int d^3v\, f_{ig}^{\rm tb}\left(\frac{Iv_{||}}{B}+R\Omega_\zeta\right)\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\rm T}, \quad (16)$$

$$\Pi_0^{\rm tb} = \frac{M^2c^2}{2Ze}\frac{1}{V'}\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}V'\left\langle\left\langle\frac{\partial\phi^{\rm tb}}{\partial\zeta}\int d^3v\, f_{ig}^{\rm tb}\frac{I^2v_{||}^2}{B^2}\right\rangle_{\rm T}\right\rangle_\psi$$

$$
-\frac{M^2 c}{2Ze}\left\langle \int d^3v\, C_{ii}^{(\ell)}\{H_{i2,0}^{\mathrm{tb}}\}\frac{I^2 v_{||}^2}{B^2}\right\rangle_\psi, \qquad (17)
$$

$$
\Pi_{-1}^{\mathrm{nc}} = -\frac{M^2 c}{2Ze}\left\langle \int d^3v\, C_{ii}^{(\ell)}\{H_{i1,0}^{\mathrm{nc}} + H_{i2,0}^{\mathrm{nc}}\}\frac{I^2 v_{||}^2}{B^2}\right\rangle_\psi \qquad (18)
$$

and

$$
\Pi_{0}^{\mathrm{nc}} = -\frac{M^2 c}{2Ze}\left\langle \int d^3v\, C_{ii}^{(n\ell)}\{H_{i1,0}^{\mathrm{nc}}, H_{i1,0}^{\mathrm{nc}}\}\frac{I^2 v_{||}^2}{B^2}\right\rangle_\psi
$$

$$
-\frac{M^3 c^2}{6Z^2e^2}\frac{1}{V'}\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}V'\left\langle \int d^3v\, C_{ii}^{(\ell)}\{H_{i1,0}^{\mathrm{nc}}\}\frac{I^3 v_{||}^3}{B^3}\right\rangle_\psi. \qquad (19)
$$

Recall that the subscript $_g$ indicates that $\mathbf{R}$, ε and μ have been replaced by $\mathbf{R}_g = \mathbf{r} + \Omega_i^{-1}\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}$, $v^2/2$ and $v_\perp^2/2B$, and the subscript $_0$ that they have been replaced by $\mathbf{r}$, $v^2/2$ and $v_\perp^2/2B$. In Table 4 we summarize the size of all these contributions compared to the reference size $(B/B_p)\delta_i^3 p_i R|\nabla\psi|$, and we write what they depend on. To obtain these dependences, we use equations (3), (4), (5), (6), (8), (10) and (11). The size estimates are taken from [18], where the turbulence was assumed to not scale strongly with B_p/B. We use Δ_{ud} to denote a measure of the flux surface up-down asymmetry. It ranges from zero for perfect up-down symmetry to one for extreme asymmetry. Notice that for extreme up-down asymmetry, Π_{-1}^{tb} and Π_{-1}^{nc} clearly dominate.

3. Discussion

We finish by showing how this new formalism gives a plausible model for intrinsic rotation. Until now, models have only considered the contribution Π_{-1}^{tb}, with f_i^{tb} and ϕ^{tb} obtained by employing equations (6) and (10) without the terms that contain H_{i1}^{nc} and ϕ_1^{nc}. This is acceptable for $R\Omega_\zeta \gg (B/B_p)\delta_i v_{ti}$ or high up-down asymmetry $\Delta_{ud} \gg (B/B_p)\delta_i$. In these limits, $\Pi_{-1}^{\mathrm{tb}}(\partial_\psi\Omega_\zeta, \Omega_\zeta) \simeq -\nu^{\mathrm{tb}}\partial_\psi\Omega_\zeta - \Gamma^{\mathrm{tb}}\Omega_\zeta + \Pi_{ud}^{\mathrm{tb}}$. To obtain this last expression we have linearized around $\partial_\psi\Omega_\zeta = 0$ and $\Omega_\zeta = 0$ for $R\Omega_\zeta/v_{ti} \ll 1$. Here ν^{tb} is the turbulent diffusivity, Γ^{tb} is the turbulent pinch of momentum and $\Pi_{ud}^{\mathrm{tb}} \sim \Delta_{ud}\delta_i^2 p_i R|\nabla\psi|$ is the value of Π_{-1}^{tb} at $\Omega_\zeta = 0$ and $\partial_\psi\Omega_\zeta = 0$, and is zero for perfect up-down asymmetry when equations (6), (8) and (10) are solved without the terms that contain H_{i1}^{nc} and ϕ_1^{nc} [24]. Notice then that imposing $\langle\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_i \cdot\nabla\psi\rangle_\psi\rangle_{\mathrm{T}} \simeq \Pi^{\mathrm{tb}} = -\nu^{\mathrm{tb}}\partial_\psi\Omega_\zeta - \Gamma^{\mathrm{tb}}\Omega_\zeta + \Pi_{ud}^{\mathrm{tb}} = 0$ gives intrinsic rotation only for up-down asymmetry or if momentum is pinched into the core from the edge.

The complete model described in this article includes contributions that have not been considered before. On the one hand, the gyrokinetic equations (6) and (10) have new terms depending on H_{i1}^{nc} and ϕ_1^{nc}, giving $\Pi_{-1}^{\mathrm{tb}} \simeq -\nu^{\mathrm{tb}}\partial_\psi\Omega_\zeta - \Gamma^{\mathrm{tb}}\Omega_\zeta + \Pi_{ud}^{\mathrm{tb}} + \Pi_{-1,0}^{\mathrm{tb}}$, where $\Pi_{-1,0}^{\mathrm{tb}} \sim (B/B_p)\delta_i^3 p_i R|\nabla\psi|$ is a new contribution due to the new terms in the gyrokinetic equation. In addition, there are the new terms Π_0^{tb}, Π_{-1}^{nc} and Π_0^{nc}. As we did for Π_{-1}^{tb}, we can linearize $\Pi_{-1}^{\mathrm{nc}}(\partial_\psi\Omega_\zeta)$ around $\partial_\psi\Omega_\zeta = 0$ to find

$\Pi^{\rm nc}_{-1} \simeq -\nu^{\rm nc}\partial_\psi \Omega_\zeta + \Pi^{\rm nc}_{ud} + \Pi^{\rm nc}_{-1,0}$, where $\Pi^{\rm nc}_{ud} \sim \Delta_{ud}(B/B_p)(qR\nu_{ii}/v_{ti})\delta_i^2 p_i R|\nabla\psi|$ and $\Pi^{\rm nc}_{-1,0} \sim (B/B_p)^2(qR\nu_{ii}/v_{ti})\delta_i^3 p_i R|\nabla\psi|$. Combining all these results and imposing that $\langle\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{P}}_i \cdot\nabla\psi\rangle_\psi\rangle_{\rm T} = 0$, we obtain

$$\Omega_\zeta = -\int_\psi^{\psi_a} d\psi' \left.\frac{\Pi^{\rm int}}{\nu^{\rm tb}+\nu^{\rm nc}}\right|_{\psi=\psi'} \exp\left(\int_\psi^{\psi'} d\psi'' \left.\frac{\Gamma^{\rm tb}}{\nu^{\rm tb}+\nu^{\rm nc}}\right|_{\psi=\psi''}\right)$$
$$+\Omega_\zeta|_{\psi=\psi_a} \exp\left(\int_\psi^{\psi_a} d\psi' \left.\frac{\Gamma^{\rm tb}}{\nu^{\rm tb}+\nu^{\rm nc}}\right|_{\psi=\psi'}\right), \qquad (20)$$

where ψ_a is the poloidal flux at the edge, $\Omega_\zeta|_{\psi=\psi_a}$ is the rotation velocity in the edge and $\Pi^{\rm int} = \Pi^{\rm tb}_{ud} + \Pi^{\rm tb}_{-1,0} + \Pi^{\rm tb}_0 + \Pi^{\rm nc}_{ud} + \Pi^{\rm nc}_{-1,0} + \Pi^{\rm nc}_0$. Notice that this equation gives a rotation profile that depends on $\Pi^{\rm int}$ that in turn depends on the gradients of temperature and density, and the magnetic field geometry. The typical size of the rotation is $\Omega_\zeta \sim (B/B_p)\delta_i v_{ti}/R$ for $\Delta_{ud} \lesssim (B/B_p)\delta_i$ and $\Omega_\zeta \sim \Delta_{ud} v_{ti}/R$ for $\Delta_{ud} \gtrsim (B/B_p)\delta_i$.

This new model for intrinsic rotation has been constructed such that the pinch and the up-down symmetry drive, discovered in the high flow ordering, are naturally included. By transforming to the frame rotating with Ω_ζ we have made this property explicit.

Acknowledgments

Work supported in part by the post-doctoral fellowship programme of the UK EPSRC, by the Junior Research Fellowship programme of Christ Church at University of Oxford, by the U.S. Department of Energy Grant No. DE-FG02-91ER-54109 at the Plasma Science and Fusion Center of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, by the Center for Multiscale Plasma Dynamics of University of Maryland and by the Leverhulme network for Magnetised Turbulence in Astrophysical and Fusion Plasmas.

Appendix A. Equation for the distribution function in the rotating frame

In this Appendix we derive equations (3), (6) and (11) for the different pieces of the ion distribution function, equations (4) and (8) for the different pieces of the electron distribution function, and equations (5) and (10) for the different pieces of the potential. These equations are valid in the frame rotating with angular velocity Ω_ζ, and we deduce them from the results in [18], obtained in the laboratory frame.

In reference [18] we showed that in the limit $B_p/B \ll 1$, assuming that the turbulence does not scale strongly with B_p/B, the ion distribution function is given by $f_i(\mathbf{R}, E, \mu, t) = f_{Mi}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), E, t) + F^{\rm nc}_{i1}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \theta(\mathbf{R}), E, \mu, t) + F^{\rm nc}_{i2}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \theta(\mathbf{R}), E, \mu, t) + F^{\rm tb}_{i2}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \theta(\mathbf{R}), E, \mu, t) + f^{\rm tb}_i(\mathbf{R}, E, \mu, t)$, where the size of these different pieces is $F^{\rm nc}_{i1} \sim (B/B_p)\delta_i f_{Mi}$, $F^{\rm nc}_{i2} \sim (B/B_p)^2\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$, $F^{\rm tb}_{i2} \sim (B/B_p)(v_{ti}/qR\nu_{ii})\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$ and $f^{\rm tb}_i = f^{\rm tb}_{i1} + f^{\rm tb}_{i2}$, with $f^{\rm tb}_{i1} \sim \delta_i f_{Mi}$ and $f^{\rm tb}_{i2} \sim (B/B_p)\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$. The equations for the different pieces were obtained from the gyrokinetic equation

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + \dot{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} f_i + \dot{E} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial E} = \langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_i, \tag{A.1}$$

where the time derivative $\dot{\mathbf{R}}$ is

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}} = u' \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R}) + \mathbf{v}'_M - \frac{c}{B} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \langle \phi \rangle_i \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \tag{A.2}$$

and the time derivative $\dot{E}$ is

$$\dot{E} = -\frac{Ze}{M} [u' \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R}) + \mathbf{v}'_M] \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \langle \phi \rangle_i. \tag{A.3}$$

Here, $u' = \pm\sqrt{2(E - \mu B)}$ is the gyrokinetic parallel velocity in the laboratory frame, and

$$\mathbf{v}'_M = \frac{\mu}{\Omega_i} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B + \frac{(u')^2}{\Omega_i} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \tag{A.4}$$

are the ∇B and curvature drifts in the laboratory frame. Equations (19) and (20) of [18] for F_{i1}^{nc} and equation (24) of [18] for F_{i2}^{nc} are obtained from the long wavelength axisymmetric contributions to (A.1) of order $\delta_i f_{Mi} v_{ti}/a$ and $(B/B_p)\delta_i^2 f_{Mi} v_{ti}/a$, respectively. Equation (25) of [18] for F_{i2}^{tb} is also a long wavelength axisymmetric component of (A.1). In particular, it is the contribution of order $\delta_i^2 f_{Mi} v_{ti}/a$ that when the equation is orbit averaged does not vanish as $\nu_{ii} \to 0$. Equation (55) of [18] for f_i^{tb} is the sum of the short wavelength components of (A.1) of order $\delta_i f_{Mi} v_{ti}/a$ and $(B/B_p)\delta_i^2 f_{Mi} v_{ti}/a$.

In this article, we write the formulation in [18] in the frame rotating with velocity Ω_ζ, that is, we need to use the new gyrokinetic variable $\varepsilon = E - I\Omega_\zeta u'/B$. Thus, the new gyrokinetic equation is

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + \dot{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} f_i + \dot{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \varepsilon} = \langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_i. \tag{A.5}$$

The time derivative of the new gyrokinetic variable ε is

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = \dot{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \varepsilon + \dot{E} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial E}. \tag{A.6}$$

In $\dot{\mathbf{R}}$, using $u' = u + I\Omega_\zeta/B$, with $u = \pm\sqrt{2(\varepsilon - \mu B + R^2\Omega_\zeta^2/2)}$, leads to

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}} = u\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{I\Omega_\zeta}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{v}_M + \mathbf{v}_C - \frac{c}{B} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \langle \phi \rangle_i \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} + O\left(\frac{B^2}{B_p^2} \delta_i^3 v_{ti}\right), \tag{A.7}$$

with

$$\mathbf{v}_M = \frac{\mu}{\Omega_i} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B + \frac{u^2}{\Omega_i} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \tag{A.8}$$

the ∇B and curvature drifts in the rotating frame, and $\mathbf{v}_C = (2uI\Omega_\zeta/B\Omega_i)\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{b}})$ the Coriolis drift. To obtain this expression for $\dot{\mathbf{R}}$ we have used $(u')^2 = u^2 + 2I\Omega_\zeta u/B + O[(B/B_p)^2\delta_i^2 v_{ti}^2]$ to write $\mathbf{v}'_M = \mathbf{v}_M + \mathbf{v}_C + O[(B^2/B_p^2)\delta_i^3 v_{ti}]$. The usual result for the Coriolis drift $\mathbf{v}_C = (2u\Omega_\zeta/\Omega_i)\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times [(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} R \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}) \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}]$ can be recovered by realizing that for $B_p/B \ll 1$, $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} + O(B_p/B)$, $\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}R/R + O[(B_p/B)R^{-1}]$ and $I/B = R + O[(B_p^2/B^2)R]$, giving

$$\mathbf{v}_C = \frac{2u\Omega_\zeta}{\Omega_i}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times[(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}R\times\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}] = \frac{2Iu\Omega_\zeta}{B\Omega_i}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) + O(\delta_i^2 v_{ti}). \tag{A.9}$$

In addition, using $I\hat{\mathbf{b}}/B = R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} + \hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi/B$, $\phi = \phi_0 + \phi_1^{\mathrm{nc}} + \phi_2^{\mathrm{nc}} + \phi^{\mathrm{tb}}$, $\langle\phi_0\rangle_i = \phi_0(\psi(\mathbf{R}), t) + O(\delta_i^2 T_e/e)$, $\langle\phi_1^{\mathrm{nc}}\rangle_i = \phi_1^{\mathrm{nc}}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \theta(\mathbf{R}), t) + O[(B/B_p)\delta_i^3 T_e/e]$ and $\langle\phi_2^{\mathrm{nc}}\rangle_i = O[(B^2/B_p^2)\delta_i^2 v_{ti}]$, we can simplify equation (A.7) to

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}} = u\hat{\mathbf{b}} + R\Omega_\zeta\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} + \mathbf{v}_M + \mathbf{v}_C - \frac{c}{B}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\phi_1^{\mathrm{nc}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}} - \frac{c}{B}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi^{\mathrm{tb}}\rangle_i\times\hat{\mathbf{b}} + O\left(\delta_i^2 v_{ti}\right). \tag{A.10}$$

The time derivative $\dot{\varepsilon}$ in (A.6) can be written as

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = \dot{E} - \frac{Iu'}{B}\frac{\partial\Omega_\zeta}{\partial\psi}\dot{\mathbf{R}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi - \Omega_\zeta\dot{\mathbf{R}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{Iu'}{B}\right) - \frac{I\Omega_\zeta}{Bu'}\dot{E}. \tag{A.11}$$

To simplify this equation we use

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{R}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{Iu'}{B}\right) &= u'\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{Iu'}{B}\right) + \mathbf{v}'_M\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{Iu'}{B}\right) - \frac{c}{B}(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi\rangle_i\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{Iu'}{B}\right)\\ &= \frac{Ze}{Mc}\mathbf{v}'_M\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi + \frac{ZeI}{MBu'}\mathbf{v}'_M\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi\rangle_i + O\left(\frac{B_p}{B}\delta_i v_{ti}^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

With this result, obtained by using those that follow in (A.14) and (A.15), and employing $\phi = \phi_0 + \phi_1^{\mathrm{nc}} + \phi_2^{\mathrm{nc}} + \phi^{\mathrm{tb}}$, $\langle\phi_0\rangle_i = \phi_0(\psi(\mathbf{R}), t) + O(\delta_i^2 T_e/e)$, $\langle\phi_1^{\mathrm{nc}}\rangle_i = \phi_1^{\mathrm{nc}}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \theta(\mathbf{R}), t) + O[(B/B_p)\delta_i^3 T_e/e]$, $\langle\phi_2^{\mathrm{nc}}\rangle_i = \phi_2^{\mathrm{nc}}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \theta(\mathbf{R}), t) + O[(B^2/B_p^2)\delta_i^4 T_e/e]$, $u' = u + O[(B/B_p)\delta_i v_{ti}]$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\varepsilon} = &-\frac{Ze}{M}[u\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R}) + \mathbf{v}_M + \mathbf{v}_C]\cdot\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\phi_1^{\mathrm{nc}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\phi_2^{\mathrm{nc}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi^{\mathrm{tb}}\rangle_i\right)\\ &-\frac{Iu}{B}\frac{\partial\Omega_\zeta}{\partial\psi}\left(\mathbf{v}_M - \frac{c}{B}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi^{\mathrm{tb}}\rangle_i\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi + O\left(\frac{\delta_i^2 v_{ti}^3}{a}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

To obtain the result in (A.12), we have employed $\mathbf{v}'_M\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi = u'\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}(Iu'/\Omega_i)$;

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{c}{B}(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi\rangle_i\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{Iu'}{B}\right)&\\ &= \frac{ZeI}{MBu'}\left[\frac{\mu}{\Omega_i}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B - \frac{(u')^2}{\Omega_i}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\ln\left(\frac{I}{B}\right)\right]\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi\rangle_i\\ &= \frac{ZeI}{MBu'}\left[\frac{\mu}{\Omega_i}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B + \frac{(u')^2}{\Omega_i}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}})\right]\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi\rangle_i + O\left(\frac{B_p}{B}\delta_i v_{ti}^2\right)\\ &= \frac{ZeI}{MBu'}\mathbf{v}'_M\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi\rangle_i + O\left(\frac{B_p}{B}\delta_i v_{ti}^2\right),\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

where we have used $I/B = R + O[(B_p^2/B^2)R]$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\ln R + O[(B_p/B)R^{-1}]$; and

$$\mathbf{v}'_M\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{Iu'}{B}\right) = \frac{u'}{\Omega_i}\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times(u'\hat{\mathbf{b}}) - u'\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right]\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{Iu'}{B}\right) \sim \frac{B_p^2}{B^2}\delta_i v_{ti}^2, \tag{A.15}$$

where we have used $\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}} \sim (B_p/B)a^{-1}$, $\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}(Iu'/B) \sim (a/R)(Rv_{ti}/qR) \sim (B_p/B)v_{ti}$ and $\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times(u'\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}(Iu'/B) = \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\cdot[u'\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}(Iu'/B)] = \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\cdot[(Iu'/B)\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\zeta\times$

$\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}(Iu'/B)] + \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \cdot [(u'/B)(\nabla\zeta \times \nabla\psi) \times \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}(Iu'/B)] = \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \{\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\zeta \times \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}[I^2(u')^2/2B^2]\} - \partial_\zeta[(u'/R^2B)\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}(Iu'/B)] = 0$.

With equations (A.5), (A.10) and (A.13), we can now easily obtain equations (3), (6) and (11) for $H_{i1}^{\rm nc}$, $f_i^{\rm tb}$, $H_{i2}^{\rm nc}$ and $H_{i2}^{\rm tb}$. To obtain (3), we take the long wavelength axisymmetric contribution to (A.5) to order $\delta_i f_{Mi} v_{ti}/a$, giving

$$u\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} H_{i1}^{\rm nc} + \mathbf{v}_M \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} f_{Mi} - \frac{Ze}{M}\frac{\partial f_{Mi}}{\partial \varepsilon} u\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \phi_1^{\rm nc} = C_{ii}^{(\ell)}\{H_{i1}^{\rm nc}\}. \qquad (A.16)$$

This equation differs from equations (19) and (20) of [18], and gives a function $H_{i1}^{\rm nc}$ different from the function $F_{i1}^{\rm nc}$ defined in [18]. The reason is that $f_{Mi}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), \varepsilon) + H_{i1}^{\rm nc} + H_{i2}^{\rm nc}$ must be equal to the function $f_{Mi}(\psi(\mathbf{R}), E) + F_{i1}^{\rm nc} + F_{i2}^{\rm nc}$ defined in [18] to the order of interest, but how the terms of first and second order in δ_i are assigned to one or the other piece differs depending on the frame. For this reason, we have changed the name of the functions. The final result in (3) is obtained from (A.16) by using $\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi = u\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}(Iu/\Omega_i)$ for $u = \pm\sqrt{2(\varepsilon - \mu B + R^2\Omega_\zeta^2/2)} \simeq \pm\sqrt{2(\varepsilon - \mu B)}$.

Equation (6) is the sum of the short wavelength contributions to (A.5) of order $\delta_i f_{Mi} v_{ti}/a$ and $(B/B_p)\delta_i^2 f_{Mi} v_{ti}/a$. The equation is straightforward if we apply the same methodology as in [18].

Equation (11) is found from the long wavelength axisymmetric components of (A.5) to order $\delta_i^2 f_{Mi} v_{ti}/a$. Note that to this order we have the time derivative $\partial_t f_{Mi}$ [18]. Using $\partial_t f_{Mi} = [n_i^{-1}\partial_t n_i + (M\varepsilon/T_i - 3/2)T_i^{-1}\partial_t T_i] f_{Mi}$ and realizing that $\partial_t n_i = \langle \int d^3v\, \mathcal{S}^{\rm tb} \rangle_\psi$ and $(3/2)\partial_t(n_i T_i) = \langle \int d^3v\, \mathcal{S}^{\rm tb} M\varepsilon \rangle_\psi + \langle \int d^3v\, \mathcal{S}^{\rm nc} M\varepsilon \rangle_\psi$, we find the final form in (11), (12) and (13). Here the integral $\langle \int d^3v\, \mathcal{S}^{\rm tb} M\varepsilon \rangle_\psi$ gives both the divergence of the turbulent radial energy transport and the turbulent heating. Similarly, $\langle \int d^3v\, \mathcal{S}^{\rm nc} M\varepsilon \rangle_\psi$ gives the divergence of the neoclassical radial flux of energy. The equations for $H_{i2}^{\rm nc}$ and $H_{i2}^{\rm tb}$ are obtained in the same way as equations (24) and (25) in [18], i.e., the equation for $H_{i2}^{\rm nc}$ is the axisymmetric long wavelength component of (A.5) of order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^2 f_{Mi} v_{ti}/a$, and the equation for $H_{i2}^{\rm tb}$ is the axisymmetric long wavelength component of order $\delta_i^2 f_{Mi} v_{ti}/a$ that when it is orbit averaged does not vanish as $\nu_{ii} \to 0$.

The equations (4) and (8) for the electron distribution function in the rotating frame are derived in the same way as the equations for the ion distribution function. The only differences are that the Coriolis drift $\mathbf{v}_C$ and the term in (A.13) that is proportional to $\partial_\psi \Omega_\zeta$ are small by $\sqrt{m/M}$ and hence negligible, and that we include the electric field $\mathbf{E}^A$ driven by the transformer, leading to a modified time derivative for the energy

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = -\frac{e}{m} u\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{E}^A + \frac{e}{m}[u\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R}) + \mathbf{v}_M] \cdot \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\phi_1^{\rm nc} + \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle \phi^{\rm tb} \rangle_e\right). \qquad (A.17)$$

Finally, the equations for the different pieces of the electrostatic potential (5) and (10) are easily deduced from the results in [18] by realizing that moving to a rotating reference frame does not modify the quasineutrality equation.

Appendix B. Derivation of equation (14)

In this Appendix we derive equation (14) with a procedure different from the one employed in [18]. This new derivation shows the connection with calculations that split the off-diagonal components of the viscosity into gyroviscosity and perpendicular viscosity [25]. The derivation presented here and the one in [18] lead to identical results (as they should), but we believe that this new approach emphasizes the advantages of the formula in (14).

We begin by using $R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} = I\hat{\mathbf{b}}/B - B^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi$ to write

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{P}}_i \cdot\nabla\psi\rangle_\psi\rangle_{\rm T} &= \left\langle\left\langle \frac{M}{B}\int d^3v'\, f_i \mathbf{v}'_\perp\cdot\nabla\psi \left[I v'_{||} - (\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla\psi\right]\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\rm T} \\
&= \left\langle\left\langle \frac{MI}{B}\int d^3v'\, f_i v'_{||}\mathbf{v}'_\perp\cdot\nabla\psi\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\rm T} \\
&- \left\langle\left\langle \frac{M}{2B}\int d^3v'\, f_i \nabla\psi\cdot\left[\mathbf{v}'_\perp\mathbf{v}'_\perp - (\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\right]\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi)\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\rm T}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

Since the transport of toroidal angular momentum needs to be known to order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^3 p_i R|\nabla\psi|$, evaluating it directly from this equation would require knowing f_i to order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^3 f_{Mi}$, and it is easy to see from our decomposition of the ion distribution function given in Section 2.1 that we cannot calculate the ion distribution function to that order. To circumvent this problem, we use exact moments of the Fokker-Planck equation to write the two integrals that appear in the transport of momentum as

$$\begin{aligned}
M\int d^3v'\, f_i v'_{||}\mathbf{v}'_\perp = &-\frac{M}{\Omega_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\int d^3v'\, f_i v'_{||}(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\right] + \frac{M}{\Omega_i}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\, f_i\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\
&-\frac{Mc}{B}\left[\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla\phi\int d^3v'\, f_i\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}} + (\nabla\phi\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\int d^3v'\, f_i v'_{||}\right] \\
&+\frac{M}{\Omega_i}\int d^3v'\, C_{ii}\{f_i\} v'_{||}(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}),
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

where we have used the $v'_{||}(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})$ moment of the Fokker-Planck equation, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{M}{2}\int d^3v'\, f_i\left[\mathbf{v}'_\perp\mathbf{v}'_\perp - (\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\right] \\
&\qquad = -\frac{M}{4\Omega_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\int d^3v'\, f_i\left(\mathbf{v}'_\perp(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\mathbf{v}'_\perp\right)\right] \\
&\qquad +\frac{M}{4\Omega_i}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\, f_i\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\cdot\left(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{I}} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right) \\
&\qquad -\frac{M}{4\Omega_i}\left(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{I}} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right)\cdot\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\, f_i\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\times\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\
&\qquad -\frac{Mc}{4B}\int d^3v'\, f_i\left[(\nabla\phi\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\mathbf{v}'_\perp + \mathbf{v}'_\perp(\nabla\phi\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\right] \\
&\qquad -\frac{Mc}{4B}\int d^3v'\, f_i\left[\nabla_\perp\phi(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\nabla_\perp\phi\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{M}{4\Omega_i}\int d^3v'\, C_{ii}\{f_i\}\left[\mathbf{v}'_\perp(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\mathbf{v}'_\perp\right], \tag{B.3}$$

where we have used the $\mathbf{v}'_\perp(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\mathbf{v}'_\perp$ moment. These are the standard expressions used to calculate the perpendicular-parallel and perpendicular-perpendicular components of gyroviscosity and perpendicular viscosity [25].

Upon coarse grain averaging, the terms with time derivatives in (B.2) and (B.3) are smaller than $\delta_i^3 p_i$ because ∂_t becomes of order $\delta_i^2 v_{ti}/a$ and the lowest order distribution function is a Maxwellian, making the velocity integrals over $v'_{||}(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})$ and $\mathbf{v}'_\perp(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\mathbf{v}'_\perp$ vanish. As a result, the time derivative terms are negligible, giving

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\left\langle \frac{MI}{B}\int d^3v'\, f_i v'_{||}\mathbf{v}'_\perp\cdot\nabla\psi\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\\
&\qquad = -\left\langle \frac{MI}{B\Omega_i}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi)\cdot\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right\rangle_\psi\\
&\qquad -\left\langle\left\langle \frac{McI}{B^2}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla\phi\int d^3v'\, f_i(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla\psi\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\\
&\qquad -\left\langle\left\langle \frac{McI}{B^2}(\nabla\phi\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla\psi\int d^3v'\, f_i v'_{||}\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\\
&\qquad +\left\langle \frac{MI}{B\Omega_i}\int d^3v'\,\langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\, v'_{||}(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla\psi\right\rangle_\psi
\end{aligned}\tag{B.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\left\langle \frac{M}{2B}\int d^3v'\, f_i\nabla\psi\cdot\left[\mathbf{v}'_\perp\mathbf{v}'_\perp - (\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\right]\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi)\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\\
&\qquad = \left\langle \frac{M}{4B\Omega_i}\nabla\psi\cdot\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\,\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\cdot\nabla\psi\right\rangle_\psi\\
&\qquad -\left\langle \frac{M}{4B\Omega_i}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi)\cdot\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\,\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi)\right\rangle_\psi\\
&\qquad +\left\langle\left\langle \frac{Mc}{2B^2}\int d^3v'\, f_i(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\nabla\psi)(\nabla\phi\cdot\nabla\psi)\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\\
&\qquad -\left\langle\left\langle \frac{Mc}{2B^2}\int d^3v'\, f_i[(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla\psi][(\nabla\phi\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla\psi]\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\\
&\qquad -\left\langle \frac{M}{4B\Omega_i}\int d^3v'\,\langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\left[(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\nabla\psi)^2 - \left((\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla\psi\right)^2\right]\right\rangle_\psi .
\end{aligned}\tag{B.5}$$

We could use these two equations to evaluate $\langle\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{P}}_i\cdot\nabla\psi\rangle_\psi\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}$ instead of the form in (14). If we did so, we would still need to evaluate the first term on the right side of (B.4) and the first and second terms on the right side of (B.5) to order $\delta_i^2\Delta_{ud}p_i R|\nabla\psi|$ for an up-down asymmetric tokamak with $\Delta_{ud}\gg(B/B_p)\delta_i$, and to order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^3 p_i R|\nabla\psi|$

for an up-down symmetric tokamak with $\Delta_{ud} \lesssim (B/B_p)\delta_i$. In the up-down asymmetric case, the dominant contribution to the first term on the right side of (B.4) and the first and second terms on the right side of (B.5) is due to $H_{i1}^{\rm nc}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
-\left\langle \frac{MI}{B\Omega_i}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi)\cdot\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\,H_{i1,0}^{\rm nc}\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right\rangle_\psi & \\
\simeq \left\langle \frac{MI}{B\Omega_i}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi)\int d^3v\,H_{i1}^{\rm nc}v_{||}(v_\perp^2-v_{||}^2)\right\rangle_\psi & \\
-\left\langle\frac{MI}{2B\Omega_i}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi)\cdot\nabla\left(\int d^3v\,H_{i1}^{\rm nc}v_{||}v_\perp^2\right)\right\rangle_\psi & \\
= \frac{M^2c}{2Ze}\left\langle\int d^3v\,H_{i1}^{\rm nc}v_{||}\left[v_{||}^2\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla\left(\frac{I^2}{B^2}\right)-\frac{I^2}{B^2}v_\perp^2\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla\ln B\right]\right\rangle_\psi . & \qquad \text{(B.6)}
\end{aligned}$$

This term is of order $(B/B_p)\Delta_{ud}\delta_i^2 p_i R|\nabla\psi|$, suggesting that the transport due to the neoclassical piece $H_{i1}^{\rm nc}$ does not scale with collisionality and that it is larger than the turbulent piece $\Pi_{-1}^{\rm tb}$ by a factor of $B/B_p \gg 1$ (see Table 4). In fact, this contribution cancels to this order with other terms in (B.4) and (B.5), as we will show in equations (B.7), (B.8), (B.9), (B.10) and (B.11) below. The final result of the cancellation is that the neoclassical pieces of the distribution function give a contribution of order $(B/B_p)(qR\nu_{ii}/v_{ti})\Delta_{ud}\delta_i^2 p_i R|\nabla\psi|$ for $\Delta_{ud} \gg (B/B_p)\delta_i$.

In the up-down symmetric case, similar problems appear. Obtaining the transport of toroidal angular momentum to order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^3 p_i R|\nabla\psi|$ requires calculating the long wavelength piece of the ion distribution function $\langle f_i\rangle_{\rm T}$ to order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$ for the first term on the right side of (B.4) and to order $(B/B_p)^2\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$ for the first and second terms on the right side of (B.5). In section 2.1 we show how to calculate $H_{i2}^{\rm nc} \sim (B/B_p)^2\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$ and $H_{i2}^{\rm tb} \sim (B/B_p)(v_{ti}/qR\nu_{ii})\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$, so in principle, it is possible to evaluate these terms to the appropriate order. Note, however, that equations (B.4) and (B.5) suggest misleading scalings for the transport of momentum. For example, using $H_{i2}^{\rm nc} \sim (B/B_p)^2\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$ in the first and second terms on the right side of (B.5), we obtain that a purely neoclassical piece can give momentum transport of order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^3 p_i R|\nabla\psi|$, that is, transport that does not scale with collisionality. Similarly, integrating over $H_{i2}^{\rm tb} \sim (B/B_p)(v_{ti}/qR\nu_{ii})\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$ in the first term on the right side of (B.4) gives momentum transport of order $(B/B_p)(v_{ti}/qR\nu_{ii})\delta_i^3 p_i R|\nabla\psi|$, i.e. it scales inversely with collisionality. In fact, these contributions to the transport of momentum vanish by themselves or when combined with other terms in (B.4) and (B.5) as we will show in (B.7), (B.8), (B.9), (B.10) and (B.11). In equation (14) these cancellations have already been taken into account. There are advantages to this. For example, if we decide to use (B.4) and (B.5) instead of (14), $H_{i2}^{\rm tb} \sim (B/B_p)(v_{ti}/qR\nu_{ii})\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$ must be calculated to order $(B/B_p)\delta_i^2 f_{Mi} < (B/B_p)(v_{ti}/qR\nu_{ii})\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$, that is, to more precision than is necessary. Equation (14), on the other hand, makes explicit that the first term in the right side of (B.4) vanishes when the lowest order piece of $H_{i2}^{\rm nc} \sim (B/B_p)(v_{ti}/qR\nu_{ii})\delta_i^2 f_{Mi}$ is integrated over and combined with other terms in (B.4) and (B.5).

In what follows we show how to obtain (14) from (B.4) and (B.5). Using $\nabla\psi\nabla\psi =$

$|\nabla\psi|^2(\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{I}} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) - (\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi)(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi)$, $\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi = I\hat{\mathbf{b}} - RB\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$ and $\nabla(R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}) = (\nabla R)\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}(\nabla R)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \left\langle \frac{MI}{B\Omega_i}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi)\cdot\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right\rangle_\psi \\
&\qquad - \left\langle \frac{M}{4B\Omega_i}\nabla\psi\cdot\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\,\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\cdot\nabla\psi\right\rangle_\psi \\
&\qquad + \left\langle \frac{M}{4B\Omega_i}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi)\cdot\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\,\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla\psi)\right\rangle_\psi \\
&\qquad = \frac{M^2c}{2Ze}\frac{1}{V'}\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}V'\left\langle R^2\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2\mathbf{v}'\cdot\nabla\psi\right\rangle_\psi \\
&\qquad - \left\langle \frac{MI^2}{2B\Omega_i}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right\rangle_\psi \\
&\qquad - \left\langle \frac{M|\nabla\psi|^2}{4B\Omega_i}\left(\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{I}} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right) : \left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\right\rangle_\psi ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \left\langle\left\langle \frac{McI}{B^2}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla\phi\int d^3v'\,f_i(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla\psi\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}} \\
&\qquad - \left\langle\left\langle \frac{McI}{B^2}(\nabla\phi\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla\psi\int d^3v'\,f_iv'_{||}\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}} \\
&\qquad - \left\langle\left\langle \frac{Mc}{2B^2}\int d^3v'\,f_i(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\nabla\psi)(\nabla\phi\cdot\nabla\psi)\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}} \\
&\qquad + \left\langle\left\langle \frac{Mc}{2B^2}\int d^3v'\,f_i[(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla\psi][(\nabla\phi\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla\psi]\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}} \\
&\qquad = Mc\left\langle\left\langle R^2\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\nabla\phi\int d^3v'\,f_i(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}} \\
&\qquad - \left\langle\left\langle \frac{McI^2}{B^2}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla\phi\int d^3v'\,f_iv'_{||}\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}} \\
&\qquad - \left\langle\left\langle \frac{Mc|\nabla\psi|^2}{2B^2}\int d^3v'\,f_i(\mathbf{v}'_\perp\cdot\nabla\phi)\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \frac{MI}{B\Omega_i}\int d^3v'\,\langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\,v'_{||}(\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla\psi\right\rangle_\psi \\
&\qquad + \left\langle \frac{M}{4B\Omega_i}\int d^3v'\,\langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\left[(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\nabla\psi)^2 - \Big((\mathbf{v}'\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla\psi\Big)^2\right]\right\rangle_\psi \\
&\qquad = -\frac{M^2c}{2Ze}\left\langle R^2\int d^3v'\,\langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_{\mathrm{T}}\,(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2\right\rangle_\psi
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\left\langle \frac{MI^2}{2B\Omega_i}\int d^3v'\ \langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_{\rm T}\,(v'_{||})^2\right\rangle_\psi$$
$$+\left\langle \frac{M|\nabla\psi|^2}{4B\Omega_i}\int d^3v'\ \langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_{\rm T}\,(v'_\perp)^2\right\rangle_\psi . \tag{B.9}$$

Furthermore, we will use the $(MI^2/2B\Omega_i)(v'_{||})^2$ and $(M|\nabla\psi|^2/4B\Omega_i)(v'_\perp)^2$ moments of the Fokker-Planck equation to remove most of the $\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\rm T}\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'$ moments,

$$-\left\langle \frac{MI^2}{2B\Omega_i}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\rm T}\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right\rangle_\psi - \left\langle\left\langle \frac{McI^2}{B^2}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla\phi\int d^3v'\ f_i v'_{||}\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\rm T}$$
$$+\left\langle \frac{MI^2}{2B\Omega_i}\int d^3v'\ \langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_{\rm T}\,(v'_{||})^2\right\rangle_\psi$$
$$=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left\langle \frac{MI^2}{2B\Omega_i}\int d^3v'\ \langle f_i\rangle_{\rm T}\,(v'_{||})^2\right\rangle_\psi = \left\langle\frac{I^2}{2B\Omega_i}\right\rangle_\psi\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial t} \tag{B.10}$$

and

$$-\left\langle \frac{M|\nabla\psi|^2}{4B\Omega_i}\left(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{I}}-\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right):\left[\nabla\cdot\left(\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\rm T}\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}'\right)\right]\right\rangle_\psi$$
$$-\left\langle\left\langle \frac{Mc|\nabla\psi|^2}{2B^2}\int d^3v'\ f_i\mathbf{v}'_\perp\cdot\nabla\phi\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\rm T}$$
$$+\left\langle \frac{M|\nabla\psi|^2}{4B\Omega_i}\int d^3v'\ \langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_{\rm T}\,(v'_\perp)^2\right\rangle_\psi$$
$$=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left\langle \frac{M|\nabla\psi|^2}{4B\Omega_i}\int d^3v'\ \langle f_i\rangle_{\rm T}\,(v'_\perp)^2\right\rangle_\psi = \left\langle\frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{2B\Omega_i}\right\rangle_\psi\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial t}. \tag{B.11}$$

Combining (B.7), (B.8) and (B.9), and then using (B.10) and (B.11), we finally obtain

$$\langle\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{P}}_i\cdot\nabla\psi\rangle_\psi\rangle_{\rm T} = \frac{Mc}{2Ze}\langle R^2\rangle_\psi\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial t} + \frac{M^2c}{2Ze}\frac{1}{V'}\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}V'\left\langle R^2\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\rm T}(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2\mathbf{v}'\cdot\nabla\psi\right\rangle_\psi$$
$$+Mc\left\langle\left\langle R^2\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\nabla\phi\int d^3v'\ f_i(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})\right\rangle_\psi\right\rangle_{\rm T}$$
$$-\frac{M^2c}{2Ze}\left\langle R^2\int d^3v'\ \langle C_{ii}\{f_i\}\rangle_{\rm T}\,(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2\right\rangle_\psi . \tag{B.12}$$

To obtain the final result in (14), we just need to rewrite the integral $\langle R^2\int d^3v'\,\langle f_i\rangle_{\rm T}(\mathbf{v}'\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2\mathbf{v}'\cdot\nabla\psi\rangle_\psi$ using the Fokker-Planck equation as is done in [18].

Finally, note that in writing (14) we have used the expressions (B.2) and (B.3) that give the gyroviscosity and perpendicular viscosity, and (B.10) and (B.11) that are equations for the parallel and perpendicular pressure. It is necessary to combine all these equations to explicitly show the cancellations mentioned below equation (B.5).

References

[1] Rice J E et al 2007 *Nucl. Fusion* **47** 1618

[2] Nave M F F et al 2010 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** 105005

[3] Ikeda K et al 2007 *Nucl. Fusion* **47** E01.

[4] Peeters A G, Angioni C and Strinzi D 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** 265003

[5] Waltz R E, Staebler G M, Candy J and Hinton F L 2007 *Phys. Plasmas* **14** 122507
Waltz R E, Staebler G M, Candy J and Hinton F L 2009 **16** 079902

[6] Roach C M *et al* 2009 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **51** 124020

[7] Camenen Y, Peeters A G, Angioni C, Casson F J, Hornsby W A, Snodin A P and Strintzi D 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 125001

[8] Camenen Y, Peeters A G, Angioni C, Casson F J, Hornsby W A, Snodin A P and Strintzi D 2009 *Phys. Plasmas* **16** 062501

[9] Casson F J, Peeters A G, Camenen Y, Angioni C, Hornsby W A, Snodin A P, Strintzi D and Szepesi G 2009 *Phys. Plasmas* **16** 092303

[10] Casson F J, Peeters A G, Angioni C, Camenen Y, Hornsby W A, Snodin A P and Szepesi G 2010 *Phys. Plasmas* **17** 102305.

[11] Barnes M, Parra F I, Highcock E G, Schekochihin A A, Cowley S C and Roach C M 2011 submitted to *Phys. Rev. Lett.*

[12] Highcock E G, Barnes M, Schekochihin A A, Parra F I, Roach C M and Cowley S C 2010 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** 215003

[13] Nave M F F 2010 personal communication

[14] Dorland W, Jenko F, Kotschenreuther M and Rogers B N 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** 5579

[15] Candy J and Waltz R E 2003 *J. Comput. Phys.* **186** 545

[16] Dannert T and Jenko F 2005 *Phys. Plasmas* **12** 072309

[17] Peeters A G, Camenen Y, Casson F J, Hornsby W A, Snodin A P, Strintzi D and Szepesi G 2009 *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** 2650

[18] Parra F I and Catto P J 2010 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **52** 045004
Parra F I and Catto P J 2010 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **52** 059801

[19] Artun M and Tang W M 1994 *Phys. Plasmas* **1** 2682

[20] Sugama H and Horton W 1997 *Phys. Plasmas* **4** 405

[21] Parra F I and Catto P J 2008 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **50** 065014

[22] Hinton F L and Hazeltine R D 1976 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48** 239

[23] Helander P and Sigmar D J 2002 *Collisional Transport in Magnetized Plasmas* (*Cambridge Monographs on Plasma Physics*) ed Haines M G *et al* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press)

[24] Parra F I, Barnes M and Peeters A G 2011 *Phys. Plasmas* **18** 062501

[25] Simakov A N and Catto P J 2007 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **49** 729